ر سد ،	À				DACA
Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)			Docket No. T2211-906224		
In Re Application (i BARRESI et	JC)		#20
Application No. 09/355,987	Filing Date Nov. 18, 1999	Examiner J. COMBS	Customer No.	Group Art Unit 1742	Confirmation No.
Invention: Improved Foundry Alloy			RECEIVED JAN 1 2 2005		
Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450					
NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9282.					
The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper response to a notice or action by the Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extension of time actually obtained.					
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION					
NOTE: A g. (1) (2) (3)	Petition fee; Reply and/or iss Terminal disclaid	uires the following items: sue fee; mer with disclaimer feerequire e 8, 1995; and for all design ap he entire delay was unintention	plications; and	and plant applica	tions
	•	identified notice or action:	01/11/2005 ZJU 01 FC:1453	JHAR1 00000054 09	1500.00 OP
•				-	
The proposed reply is in the form of: CPA 2. The issue fee:					
□ is encl		paid on			
	loned application wa		application.		
4. A terminal	disclaimer (and fee)	disclaiming a period equivalent	t to the period o	of abandonment i	s enclosed.

5. 🖾 Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Docket No. Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Batity T2211-906224 In Re Application Of: Joseph Giovanni BARRESI et a Application No. Filing Date Customer No. Group Art Unit Confirmation No. 09/355,987 Nov. 18, 1999 J. COMBS 181 1742 7192 Invention: Improved Foundry Alloy **Calculation and Payment of Fees** Enclosed are the following fees: 6. Petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(m) in the amount of: \$1,500.00 RECEIVED 7. Fee for amendment in the amount of: JAN 1 2 2005 8. Fee for extension of time to respond to Office Action in the amount of: OFFICE OF PETITIONS 9. Issue fee in the amount of: 10. Continuing application filing fee in the amount of: 11. Terminal disclaimer fee in the amount of: 12. Total fees enclosed: \$1,500.00 The fee of \$1,500 is to be paid as follows: A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed. The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-1165 ☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

1						
Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entition				Docket No. T2211-906224		
In Re Application Of: Joseph Giovanni BARRESI et a						
Application No.	Filing Date	Examiner EXAMPLE TRADE	Customer No.	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No.	
09/355,987	Nov. 18, 1999	J. COMBS	181	1742	7192	
Invention:		<u> </u>		, i		
Improved Foundry Alloy						
		Statement				
						
		quired reply from the due date for	or the required r	reply until the filir	ng of a grantable	
petition under 37 G	FR 1.137(b) was uni	ntentional.				
		•				
	1					
$\leq n$	Wan Such	\mathcal{I}				
Muany	1 Kandruck	Û	Dated: 10 Jan	nuary 2005		
/ / Signature Edward J. Kondracki						
Reg. No. 20,604	KI					
			I hereby certify deposited with sufficient postage addressed to "N Patents, P.O. Box CFR 1.8(a)] on (Date)	the United States	spondence is being Postal Service with mail in an envelope n, Commissioner for VA 22313-1450" [37	
cc: Customer No. 18	81		Signature	of Person Mailing Co	orrespondence	

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

Docket: T2211-906224

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK O

In re application of

Confirmation No.: 7192

Joseph BARRESI et al.

Group Art Unit: 1742

Serial No.: 09/355,987

Examiner: Janelle Combs-Morillo

Filed: August 18, 1999

For: Improved Foundry Alloy

McLean, Virginia January 10, 2005

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF PETITION TO REVIVE FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY

RECEIVED

JAN 1 2 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This application was unintentionally abandoned on October 30, 2002, for failure to respond to an Official Action, namely the non-final rejection dated September 11, 2002.

Statement of Relevant Facts

On March 11, 2002, a Continued Prosecution Application Request was filed.

On May 3, 2002, a non-final Office Action was received indicating that the Request filed on March 11, 2002, for continued prosecution application (CPA) was acceptable and that the CPA had been established. A copy of the non-final Office Action is enclosed as Exhibit A. See page 2, numbered paragraph 1, for Examiner's statement of acceptance. The Office Action essentially repeated the objections set forth in the Official Action of September 11, 2001, indicating Claims 16 and 17 to be allowable upon correction of informalities and rejecting Claims 1-3, 5 and 7-20.

On August 16, 2002, the Patent and Trademark Office issued a Notice indicating that the Request for Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.530(d) was improper, but that the Request for the CPA has been treated as a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114.

However, the Notice went on to indicate in a footnote that the "constructive" RCE, was improper because it was not accompanied by a "submission" as required under 37 CFR 1.114. The "submission" referred to is the prior Amendment. A submission is not required in connection with a CPA Request and the "submission" was filed separately.

Apparently, this informality was overlooked inasmuch as an Amendment was filed on September 27, 2002. This Amendment was timely with respect to the Official Action of May 3, 2002, but was not timely with respect to the Official Action Non-Final rejection of September 11, 2001, which the Patent and Trademark Office apparently considered as the operative Official Action when the "constructive" RCE was considered inoperative.

As a result of this oversight, according to PAIR, a Notice of Abandonment was issued on October 31, 2002, under the signature of Roy King, Supervisory Examine because "The CPA (RCE)" filed March 11, 2002, was improper". In a subsequent telephone conversation between Applicant's attorney and the Group Supervisor, Applicant's attorney was advised that the Amendment filed by September 22, 2002, would be accepted as timely.

Based on the telephone conversation with the Group Supervisor, Applicant's attorney was under the impression that the Patent Office Notice of Abandonment would be withdrawn in view of the Examiner's statement that the Request filed on March 11, 2002, was accepted as a CPA, and the Supervisor's acceptance of the follow-up Amendment of September 27, 2002, and as a result did not submit the Notice of Abandonment to docketing.

Subsequently, the Attorney prosecuting this matter has left the Firm without taking further action and these facts came to light only recently when the undersigned reviewed matters being handled by the former attorney and the application file.

Upon review of the application file, the undersigned noted a yellow sticky tab in the file having the notation thereon to a secretary "Vicki please prepare a Petition to Revive based upon unintentional delay. Thanks J".

The note never reached the Secretary because the docket entries showed the application to be alive, and there was no reason to pull and examine the file until the responsible attorney left the Firm and his cases were reviewed.

As a result of the foregoing, the application was unintentionally abandoned and revival thereof is earnestly solicited.

I, the undersigned authorized signatory of Applicants, being hereby warned that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any resulting patent, declare that I am properly authorized to execute this Statement on behalf of the Applicants.

Respectfully submitted,

MILES & STOCKBRIDGE P.C.

By:

Edward J. Kondracki Reg. No. 20,604

1751 Pinnacle Drive, Suite 500 McLean, Virginia 22102-3833 Telephone: (703) 610-8627 #9257024v1



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/355,987	11/18/1999	JOSEPH GIOVANNI BARRESI	6224/JCK 7192		
7	7590 05/03/2002		•		
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE P.C.			EXAMINER		
1751 PINNACLE MCLEAN, VA 2	E DRIVE, SUITE 500 22102-3833	· <u>,</u>	COMBS, JANELL A		
			ARTINIT	PAPED NIIMBED	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NON-FINAL

MAY - 9 2002

MILES & STOCKER DGE
TYSONS CORNER

RECEIVED

JAN 1 2 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

EXHIBIT

A

PEVO		VIT
ME E	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/355,987	BARRESI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication and	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M	<u>farch 2002</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under Interpolation of Claims 	nce except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 7-20</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 7-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	RECEIVED
Application Papers		JAN 1 2 2005
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		, ,
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, provide by the Examinor.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Appli	cation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	visional application hás been	received.
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic Attachment(s)	phonity under 35 U.S.C. 99	120 aliu/01 121.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
s, Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Act	ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 17

Application/Control Number: 09/355,987

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. The request filed on March 11, 2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/355,987 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 16 and 17 objected to because of the following informalities: claims 16 and 17 are dependent on canceled claim 6. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

RECEIVED

JAN 1 2 2005

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all OFFICE OF PETITIONS obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the ASM Handbook: Vol. 2 Properties and Selection: Nonferrous Alloys and Special-Purpose Materials.

The ASM Handbook: Vol. 2 Properties and Selection: Nonferrous Alloys and Special-Purpose Materials teaches that cast aluminum alloy 356.0 has a composition comprising: 0.20-0.45% Mg, 6.5-7.5% Si, and 0.6% max. Fe (page 164), which overlaps the composition as presently claimed in claims 1, 4, 5, and 15. The ASM Handbook: Vol. 2 teaches that "the cells

Application/Control Number: 09/355,987

Art Unit: 1742

contained within the dendrite structure correspond to the dimensions separating the arms of primary dendrites" (page 133, column 2, lines 26-29), and that castings of Al-Si alloy A356 have high strength and high elongation when the dendritic cell size ranges from ~20-40 µm (Fig. 3 page 134). Said Al-Si casting alloy is typically solution heat treated at 535-540°C for 8-12 hours, quenched in hot water (~65-100°C), and aged at 150-230°C for 2-9 hours (Table 36, page 168), which are substantially the same process steps as presently claimed in claims 12, 13, 14, 19, 20.

The ASM Handbook: Vol. 2 Properties and Selection: Nonferrous Alloys and Special-Purpose Materials teaches that cast aluminum alloy 357.0 has a composition comprising: 0.45-0.6% Mg, 6.5-7.5% Si, and 0.15% max. Fe (page 166), which overlaps (or touches the boundary) of the composition as presently claimed in claims 1, 4, 5, and 15. Said alloy is typically solution heat treated at 540°C for 8 hours, hot water quenched, followed by aging ~ 170°C for 3-5 hours (page 166), which are substantially the same process steps as presently claimed in claims 12, 13, 14, 19, 20.

The prior art does not teach what phases are present in the final (and intermediate) aluminum alloy processed as stated above. However, the present specification states that "solution treatment at 540° C for 2 or more hours produced desired levels of transformation of π to β phase" (page 8 lines 13-15), which is substantially the same as the solution heat treatment steps of the prior art. The examiner asserts that because the prior art discloses substantially the same aluminum alloy processed in substantially the same steps, substantially the same product would result as presently claimed. It is held the <u>ASM Handbook: Vol. 2 Properties and Selection: Nonferrous Alloys and Special-Purpose Materials</u> has created a prima facie case of obviousness of the presently claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/355,987

Art Unit: 1742

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JA 53-16312 in view of the ASM Handbook: Vol. 2 Properties and Selection: Nonferrous Alloys and Special-Purpose Materials.

JA 53-16312 teaches an aluminum alloy comprising 6-8% Si, 0.2-0.4% Mg, and 0.001-0.15% Fe, which overlaps (or touches the boundary) of the composition as presently claimed in claims 1, 4, 5, and 15. Said alloy is processed through the steps comprising: casting, solution heat treating 545-555°C for about 9 hours, quenching in 70°C hot water, and aging at ~130°C for 4 hours (see abstract), which are substantially the same process steps as presently claimed in claims 12, 13, 14, 19, 20.

The prior art of JA 53-16312 does not teach the solidification rate of the casting or what phases are present as the result of the above mentioned process steps. However, the <u>ASM</u> Handbook: Vol. 2 Properties and Selection: Nonferrous Alloys and Special-Purpose Materials, as stated above, teaches the solidification of castings at rapid rates in order to produce high quality castings with small DAS 20-40 μ (p 133-134). The prior art does not teach what phases are present in the final (and intermediate) aluminum alloy processed as stated above. However, the present specification states that "solution treatment at 540°C for 2 or more hours produced desired levels of transformation of π to β phase" (page 8 lines 13-15), which is substantially the same as the solution heat treatment steps of the prior art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to solidify said aluminum casting (as taught by JA 53-16312) at rapid solidification rates in order to produce a high quality casting as taught by <u>ASM Handbook</u>: Vol. 2, because <u>ASM Handbook</u>: Vol. 2